

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

GILBERT WESLEY LANGFORD,

Defendant and Appellant.

E041998

(Super.Ct.No. INF055703)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. Thomas N. Douglass, Jr.,
Judge. Affirmed.

John F. Schuck, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On September 15, 2006, defendant and appellant Gilbert Wesley Langford
(defendant) was charged with two counts of first degree robbery within an inhabited
dwelling house (Pen. Code, §§ 211 & 212.5), and one count of evading police (Veh.

Code, § 2800.2). The People also alleged that defendant had served four prior prison terms within the meaning of Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (b).

On September 27, 2006, defendant pled guilty to the two robbery counts and admitted three of the prior prison terms. Pursuant to the plea agreement, the trial court sentenced defendant to the upper term of six years on one of the robbery counts, with a concurrent term of six years on the other robbery count. The court also sentenced defendant to three one-year enhancements for the prior prison terms, for a total sentence of nine years in prison.

The factual basis for defendant's guilty plea was that he took "some property from somebody that didn't belong to [him] by means of force or fear." The information indicates that the victim of the residential robbery was Beatrice Langford, a person who was 65 years of age or older.

Defendant filed a notice of appeal from prison. The trial court granted defendant's request for a certificate of probable cause.

Upon defendant's request, this court appointed counsel to represent him. Counsel has filed a brief under authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and requesting this court undertake a review of the entire record. We offered defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, and he has not done so.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

/s/ McKinster
J.

We concur:

/s/ Hollenhorst
Acting P.J.
/s/ Richli
J.